Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Diseases: Journal of the COPD Foundation



Erratum: This corrects the article "Efficacy of formoterol fumarate delivered by metered dose inhaler using Cosuspension[™] delivery technology versus Foradil[®] Aerolizer[®] in moderate-to-severe COPD: a randomized, doseranging study" in volume 4, Issue 1, page 21-33.

Erratum

Citation: Erratum. Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis. 2017;4(3):258. doi: https://doi.org/10.15326/jcopdf.4.3.2016.0158

In Volume 4, Issue 1, published in January 2017, the article, "Efficacy of formoterol fumarate delivered by metered dose inhaler using Co-suspension[™] delivery technology versus Foradil[®] Aerolizer[®] in moderate-to-severe COPD: a randomized, dose-ranging study" (*Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis.* 2017; 4(1): 21-33. doi: <u>http://doi.org/10.15326/jcopdf.4.1.2016.0158</u>) by Sethi et al, contained a mistake in the Methods: Study Patient Population section. The second sentence of this section should have read:

"Patients were also required to have a post-bronchodilator $FEV_1 \ge 30\%$ and < 80% of the predicted value and ≥ 750 mL at screening (Visit 1) and pre-bronchodilator $FEV_1 < 80\%$ of the predicted value at baseline (Visit 2)."

This correction has been posted in the online version of the article. The authors regret this error.